

MICHAEL R. SNELL §
v. § CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:11cv126
COMMUNITY EDUCATION CENTERS §
CORRECTIONAL AND MEDICAL STAFF,
ET AL.

1

The Magistrate Judge determined that: Snell failed to show deliberate indifference to his serious medical needs or that any of the Defendants knew of and disregarded a substantial risk of serious harm; the conditions in the observation cell about which Snell complained did not amount to cruel or unusual punishment; Snell could not properly raise new claims in his response to the motion for summary judgment; the claim that he was denied a mattress for a number of days did not set out a constitutional violation; his claim of denial of access to the law library lacked merit; the named defendants were entitled to qualified immunity; and, the claims which Snell sought to raise against unnamed defendants lacked merit.

Snell received a copy of the Magistrate Judge's Report on or before July 11, 2012, but filed no objections thereto; accordingly, he is barred from *de novo* review by the district judge of those findings, conclusions, and recommendations and, except upon grounds of plain error, from appellate review of the unobjected-to factual findings and legal conclusions accepted and adopted by the district court. Douglass v. United Services Automobile Association, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (*en banc*).

The Court has reviewed the pleadings in this cause and the Report of the Magistrate Judge. Upon such review, the Court has concluded that the Report of the Magistrate Judge is correct. *See United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 492 U.S. 918, 109 S.Ct. 3243 (1989) (where no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law."). It is accordingly


ORDERED that the Report of the Magistrate Judge (docket no. 80) is hereby ADOPTED as the opinion of the District Court. It is further

ORDERED that the Defendants' motion for summary judgment (docket no. 68) is hereby GRANTED and the above-styled civil action be and hereby is DISMISSED with prejudice. It is further

ORDERED that any and all motions which may be pending in this action are hereby
DENIED.

It is SO ORDERED.

SIGNED this 10th day of September, 2012.



MICHAEL H. SCHNEIDER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE